Network Coding (NC)

CITHN2002 – Summer 2024

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Stephan Günther

Chair of Distributed Systems and Security School of Computation, Information and Technology Technical University of Munich

[Lecture](#page-2-0)

[Exam](#page-5-0)

[Materials](#page-7-0)

- • 6 ECTS / 5 VU (lecture with integrated exercises)
- Module number CITHN2002, lecture times:
	- Wednesday 10:00 12:00
	- Wednesday $14:00 16:00$

- 6 ECTS / 5 VU (lecture with integrated exercises)
- Module number CITHN2002, lecture times:
	- Wednesday 10:00 12:00
	- Wednesday $14:00 16:00$

Exercises

- Lecture with integrated exercises
- Exercises are done on demand
- Problem sheets with solutions are provided
- Regular participation in lecture strongly recommended

Hardware provided for practical part / demos

- PC Engines APU2C4 [\[5\]](#page-36-0)
- AMD Embedded G series GX-412TC (1 GHz AMD "Jaguar" quadcore)
- 4 GB DDR3-1333 ECC-RAM
- Intel I210AT Gigabit Ethernet
- 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz WLAN via distinct Atheros mini-PCIe cards
- Optional dual-band Ralink WLAN via USB
- Coreboot
- Serial console
- Running Debian "Stretch" with patched kernel for frame injection

πm

Endterm / Retake

- Written, supervised exam at the end of the lecture period:
	- 75 minutes / 60 credits
	- 1 sheet of paper (A4), hand-written / printed (cheatsheet)
	- non-programmable pocket calculator
	- closed-book otherwise
- We do no "programming on paper", promised
- Date and time *tba*

Endterm / Retake

- Written, supervised exam at the end of the lecture period:
	- 75 minutes / 60 credits
	- 1 sheet of paper (A4), hand-written / printed (cheatsheet)
	- non-programmable pocket calculator
	- closed-book otherwise
- We do no "programming on paper", promised
- Date and time *tba*

Grading and Bonus

- There will be three homeworks consisting of old exam problems
- The homeworks give a total of 15 possible bonus credits
- Bonus credits are added to the final result of Endterm or Retake if the respective final exam is graded with 4.0 or better ("passed") withouth the bonus.

Lecture material

⇒ https://nchn.net.in.tum.de

Some requests

- The course requires your continuous attendance:
	- not everything may be on slides and there will be discussions in class and presentations on the whiteboard
	- missing lectures without learning the stuff on your own results in fragmentation of the group
	- passing the exam does not become easier, either
- Please make contact with your fellows
	- helps you in learning: try explain problems to your team member
		- ⇒ you will quickly recognize that you did not understand it in detail yourself
- If you want to quit, please:
	- unenroll from the course in TUMonline
	- a short email why you quit is appreciated

Chair of Distributed Systems and Security School of Computation, Information and Technology Technical University of Munich

[Introduction](#page-8-0)

[What is Network Coding?](#page-9-0)

[Applications of Network Coding](#page-17-0)

[Mindmap: Network Coding and lecture outline](#page-25-0)

NC can be considered as a generalization of routing and forwarding:

- Routing determines best-paths from source to destination.
- Forwarding switches packets along one of these paths.
- Forwarding merely creates replicas of incoming packets, i.e., a packet's payload remains unaltered.

NC can be considered as a generalization of routing and forwarding:

- Routing determines best-paths from source to destination.
- Forwarding switches packets along one of these paths.
- Forwarding merely creates replicas of incoming packets, i. e., a packet's payload remains unaltered.

NC drops this restriction:

- Outgoing packets are arbitrary combinations of previously received packets.
- The process of combining packets in such a way is referred to as coding.
- Since coding does not only happen at the source but on any node in the network, one sais that "the network codes on packets".

Source s transmits 2 packets a, b to both t_1 , t_2 (multicast):

• The link (3, 4) poses a bottleneck and must be used twice.

πm

Example 1: the famous butterfly network

Source s transmits 2 packets a, b to both t_1 , t_2 (multicast):

• The link (3, 4) poses a bottleneck and must be used twice. • NC saves one transmission on the critical link (3, 4).

-
- \bullet t_1, t_2 can decode the missing packet by XORing the coded packet with a and b, respectively.

πm

Example 2: diamond network

Nodes s, t want to communicate with each other (bidirectional unicasts):

• The link (1, 2) poses a bottleneck and must be used twice.

πm

[Introduction](#page-8-0)

Example 2: diamond network

Nodes s, t want to communicate with each other (bidirectional unicasts):

- The link (1, 2) poses a bottleneck and must be used twice. NC saves again one transmission on the critical link (1, 2).
	- s, t know what they have sent and are thus able to decode.

Example 3: wireless relay network

Nodes s, t want to communicate with each other (bidirectional unicasts):

Note:

- Only 1 node can transmit at any time (otherwise transmissions would collide).
- \bullet A transmission by r is seen by both s, t (broadcast-nature of wireless networks).

- The relay has to transmit a, b individually using 2 distinct broadcasts.
- Although s, t might overhear both transmissions, only one transmission is interesting for each node.

Example 3: wireless relay network

Nodes s, t want to communicate with each other (bidirectional unicasts):

Note:

- Only 1 node can transmit at any time (otherwise transmissions would collide).
- \bullet A transmission by r is seen by both s, t (broadcast-nature of wireless networks).

• With NC, the relay transmits $a \oplus b$.

- The relay has to transmit a, b individually using 2 distinct broadcasts.
- Although s, t might overhear both transmissions, only one transmission is interesting for each node.
- Both s, t know what they have sent and are thus able to decode the missing packet.

Throughput gain and reduced complexity

- Examples 1–3 already demonstrated the potential gain in throughput.
- May be even more interesting: in certain situations NC allows for a reduction in complexity:
	- The problem to find an optimal solution for Example 1 with routing results in the Steiner Tree problem, which is $N \mathcal{P}$.
	- With NC, a solution is found efficiently in polynomial time.

Throughput gain and reduced complexity

- Examples 1–3 already demonstrated the potential gain in throughput.
- May be even more interesting: in certain situations NC allows for a reduction in complexity:
	- The problem to find an optimal solution for Example 1 with routing results in the Steiner Tree problem, which is $N\mathcal{P}$.
	- With NC, a solution is found efficiently in polynomial time.

Robustness and adaptability

During the course of this class we will see that NC not only allows for

- more efficient channel usage but also
- reduces the cost of acknowledging and retransmitting packets.

Peer-to-peer content distribution (see Avalanche [\[2,](#page-36-1) [1\]](#page-36-2))

Imagine a peer-to-peer network:

- A file is split into $n = 3$ blocks and spread over multiple nodes.
- Some node *i* has a set of $N(i) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ neighbors.
- For simplicity assume that each $j \in N(i)$ posseses the whole file.
- *i* asks each $j \in N(i)$ to send 1 of its blocks.
- Each $i \in N(i)$ chooses a packet independently and uniformely distributed.
- What is the probability that i gets the whole file?

Peer-to-peer content distribution (see Avalanche [\[2,](#page-36-1) [1\]](#page-36-2))

Imagine a peer-to-peer network:

- A file is split into $n = 3$ blocks and spread over multiple nodes.
- Some node *i* has a set of $N(i) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ neighbors.
- For simplicity assume that each $j \in N(i)$ posseses the whole file.
- *i* asks each $j \in N(i)$ to send 1 of its blocks.
- Each $i \in N(i)$ chooses a packet independently and uniformely distributed.
- What is the probability that i gets the whole file?

$$
p=1\cdot\frac{2}{3}\cdot\frac{1}{3}\approx22\%
$$

Peer-to-peer content distribution (see Avalanche [\[2,](#page-36-1) [1\]](#page-36-2))

Imagine a peer-to-peer network:

- A file is split into $n = 3$ blocks and spread over multiple nodes.
- Some node *i* has a set of $N(i) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ neighbors.
- For simplicity assume that each $j \in N(i)$ posseses the whole file.
- *i* asks each $i \in N(i)$ to send 1 of its blocks.
- Each $i \in N(i)$ chooses a packet independently and uniformely distributed.
- What is the probability that i gets the whole file?

$$
p=1\cdot\frac{2}{3}\cdot\frac{1}{3}\approx22\,\%
$$

Now assume the following:

- $i \in N(i)$ sends the XOR of a random number of blocks.
- To decide whether or not each of the blocks should be XORed, *i* flips a coin.
- The outcome of those trials is sent along with the XOR to *i*.
- *i* can obviously decode if those trials are linear independent.

Peer-to-peer content distribution (see Avalanche [\[2,](#page-36-1) [1\]](#page-36-2))

Imagine a peer-to-peer network:

- A file is split into $n = 3$ blocks and spread over multiple nodes.
- Some node *i* has a set of $N(i) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ neighbors.
- For simplicity assume that each $j \in N(i)$ posseses the whole file.
- *i* asks each $i \in N(i)$ to send 1 of its blocks.
- Each $i \in N(i)$ chooses a packet independently and uniformely distributed.
- What is the probability that i gets the whole file?

$$
p=1\cdot\frac{2}{3}\cdot\frac{1}{3}\approx22\,\%
$$

Now assume the following:

- $i \in N(i)$ sends the XOR of a random number of blocks.
- To decide whether or not each of the blocks should be XORed, *i* flips a coin.
- The outcome of those trials is sent along with the XOR to *i*.
- *i* can obviously decode if those trials are linear independent.

$$
p' = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^3}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \approx 33\%
$$

Network security

- \bullet s wants to send messages to t .
- s knows that one of the four relay nodes is operated by an eavesdropper.

Routing:

- Since s does not know the eavesdropper, it has an odd by 1*/*2 to choose the wrong path.
- Sending packets alternating over both paths might still yield information to the eavesdropper.

Network security

- s wants to send messages to t.
- s knows that one of the four relay nodes is operated by an eavesdropper.

Routing:

- Since s does not know the eavesdropper, it has an odd by 1*/*2 to choose the wrong path.
- Sending packets alternating over both paths might still yield information to the eavesdropper.

Network Coding:

- s splits every message to be sent into four packets p_i , $1 \le i \le 4$ of equal size.
- s then calculates

 $c_1 = p_1 \oplus p_2$, $c_2 = p_3 \oplus p_4$, $c_3 = p_2 \oplus p_3$, $c_4 = p_1 \oplus p_3 \oplus p_4$

and sends c_1 , c_2 over one path and c_3 , c_4 over the other one.

• As long as the eavesdropper is unable to quess the contents of at least one packet, decoding is impossible.

TLIT

[Literature](#page-33-0)

[Bibliography](#page-35-0)

[Literature](#page-33-0)

(a) Network Coding: Fundamentals and Applications [\[4\]](#page-36-3) (b) Network Coding: An Introduction [\[3\]](#page-36-4)

And don't forget to study the Linux Kernel Coding Style [\[6\]](#page-36-5)!

пm

[Bibliography](#page-35-0)

[Bibliography](#page-35-0)

[1] C. Gkantsidis and M. Goldberg. Avalanche: File Swarming with Network Coding. [http://research.microsoft.com/en- us/projects/avalanche/](http://research.microsoft.com/en-us/projects/avalanche/).

[2] C. Gkantsidis and P. Rodriguez.

Network Coding for Large Scale Content Distribution.

In *Proceedings of the 24th Annual Joint Conference of the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies (INFOCOMM).*, volume 4, pages 2235–2245, March 2005.

[3] T. Ho and D. Lun.

Network Coding: An Introduction. Cambridge University Press, 2008.

[4] M. Médard and A. Sprintson. *Network Coding: Fundamentals and Applications*. Academic Press, 2011.

[5] PCengines. APU2C4.

<http://www.pcengines.ch/apu2c4.htm>.

[6] L. Torvalds et al.

Linux Kernel Coding Syle.

[https://www.kernel.org/doc/Documentation/process/coding- style.rst](https://www.kernel.org/doc/Documentation/process/coding-style.rst).